Journal of Research and Development
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN : 2230-9578 | Website: https://jrdrvb.org Volume-17, Issue-10| October - 2025

Original Article

Green Supply Chain Management Research Paper

Prof. Purushotham[1], Likith Gowda T K[2]
[1]Assistant professor, Department of Management Studies, Visvesvaraya Technological University-Belagavi, Centre for Post-Graduation Studies, Muddenahalli, Chikkaballapur, India
[2]Student, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli, Chikkaballapur, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, Karnataka State, India.
Email: purushotham151987@gmail.com

Manuscript ID:
JRD -2025-171005
ISSN: 2230-9578
Volume 17
Issue 10
Pp. 17-23
October. 2025
Submitted: 15 sept. 2025
Revised: 25 sept. 2025
Accepted: 10 oct. 2025
Published: 31 Oct. 2025

Abstract

Global climate change resource depletion and environmental degradation have all become major concerns forcing businesses all over the world to reconsider their conventional supply chain procedures. The strategic integration of sustainable environmental processes into supply chain operations such as product design material sourcing manufacturing distribution and end-of-life management has been made possible by the emergence of Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM). The theoretical underpinnings importance methods and real-world application of GSCM are examined in this study along with case studies of top companies. It offers suggestions for practitioners and outlines the advantages and difficulties that organizations encounter when implementing GSCM. The results show that while GSCM gives businesses a competitive edge lowers costs and enhances brand recognition it also presents major obstacles like high upfront costs inexperience and change aversion. By shedding light on the future course of sustainable supply chains and proposing solutions to existing constraints this study adds to the expanding corpus of knowledge.

Keywords : *Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM), Sustainability, Environmental Management, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Circular Economy, Supply Chain Optimization, Eco-innovation, Sustainable Development.*

Introduction

Increased environmental concerns regulatory pressures and shifting consumer expectations have all contributed to a paradigm shift in supply chain management in the twenty-first century. Conventional supply chains have frequently disregarded the environmental effects of operations such as excessive carbon emissions resource waste and pollution in favor of speed and cost effectiveness. As a result businesses are increasingly implementing Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) a comprehensive strategy that integrates environmental considerations into all phases of the supply chain from reverse logistics to product design. It is impossible to overestimate GSCMs significance. Industries are under increasing pressure to lessen their environmental impact because global supply chains are a major contributor to greenhouse gas emissions. Governments everywhere are enforcing stricter laws pertaining to resource use emissions and waste management. As a result of consumer demands for greener goods and services businesses are now coordinating their strategies with sustainability objectives. The purpose of this study is to investigate the theoretical underpinnings practical applications and methodologies of GSCM. Through an examination of extant literature and empirical case studies the research offers valuable perspectives on how businesses can establish sustainable supply chains while preserving profitability and competitiveness. The study also looks at the difficulties constraints and potential paths of GSCM providing suggestions for companies looking to implement green practices in a world that is becoming more ecologically conscious.

Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)
This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations ae licensed under the idential terms.

Address for correspondence:
Prof. Purushotham, Assistant professor, Department of Management Studies, Visvesvaraya Technological University-Belagavi, Centre for Post-Graduation Studies, Muddenahalli, Chikkaballapur, India

How to cite this article:
Purushotham, & K, L. G. T. (2025). Green Supply Chain Management Research Paper. Journal of Research and Development, 17(10), 17–23. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17586664

Quick Response Code:
Website: https://jrdrvb.org/
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17586664
OPEN ACCESS

Journal of Research and Development
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN : 2230-9578 | Website: https://jrdrvb.org Volume-17, Issue-10| October - 2025

Theoretical Background

A variety of theories from operations management sustainability science and environmental economics are incorporated into green supply chain management (GSCM). GSCM is based on the companys Natural Resource-Based View (NRBV) which contends that sustainable business practices can provide a competitive edge. Businesses that successfully incorporate environmental strategies into their supply chains according to NRBV not only meet legal requirements but also create unique valuable and rare resources that boost their long-term competitiveness. An additional pertinent theoretical framework is the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) which highlights the need for businesses to gauge their success not just by profit but also by social and environmental performance. Promoting sustainable practices that benefit people the environment and profit is how this framework fits in with GSCMs objectives. The Institutional Theory also clarifies how businesses are compelled to embrace ecologically friendly practices by outside forces like governments non-governmental organizations and customers. GSCM has been widely adopted across industries as a result of companies frequently responding to coercive (regulatory) mimetic (competitive) and normative (social) pressures. In practice GSCM integrates eco-innovation tactics closed-loop supply chains and circular economy models with an emphasis on material recycling resource reuse and waste reduction. These ideas serve as the foundation for contemporary sustainable supply chain projects.

Significance of the Study

The implications of this research for academia business policymakers and society at large are enormous.

1. Academic Contribution: By incorporating various viewpoints from operations management business strategy and sustainability it enhances the theoretical comprehension of GSCM.

2. Industrial Relevance: It gives managers real-world knowledge about how GSCM can boost productivity cut expenses and boost brand recognition while also protecting the environment. **3. Policy Implications:** The results can be used by regulators and governments to create incentives and policies that push businesses to embrace green practices.

4. Impact on Society and the Environment: GSCM directly helps to ensure intergenerational equity encourage responsible consumption and mitigate environmental issues. This study emphasizes that implementing GSCM is essential for sustainable development and is not a choice given the severity of climate change and resource scarcity.

Aims of the research.

The following are the primary goals of this research.

1. to examine the theoretical underpinnings of green supply chain management.
2. to investigate the factors that motivate and hinder the adoption of GSCM practices.
3. to evaluate how GSCM affects competitiveness sustainability and organizational performance.
4. to assess case studies of actual businesses using GSCM.
5. to make suggestions and outline future plans for the successful application of GSCM.

Questions for Research.

The following questions are the focus of this investigation.

1. What are Green Supply Chain Managements theoretical underpinnings?
2. What is the impact of GSCM on environmental and organizational performance?
3. In putting GSCM into practice what obstacles and difficulties do organizations encounter?
4. Which successful case study best practices can be implemented?
5. What paths will GSCM research and practice take in the future? .

Scope of the Study

This studys broad scope includes GSCMs theoretical methodological and practical facets. It focuses on sectors with large and environmentally significant supply chains like manufacturing retail and the automotive industry. The study is international in scope looking at both developed and developing nations practices. Nevertheless the research is restricted to organizational-level analysis and excludes life-cycle assessments and a quantitative assessment of carbon footprints. Rather it makes use of secondary data from case studies industry reports and scholarly journals. This study offers a balanced viewpoint on the application of GSCM in the contemporary business environment by focusing on both opportunities and challenges.

Review of Literature

The last 20 years have seen a substantial increase in research on Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) as governments corporations and academics attempt to address the environmental effects of globalized supply chains. The literature on GSCMs drivers practices performance outcomes and challenges is synthesized in this review. .

1. Conceptual Evolution of GSCM

One of the first academics to provide a thorough definition of GSCM was Srivastava (2007) who defined it as incorporating environmental thinking into supply chain management including product design material sourcing manufacturing processes delivery of the final product and end-of-life management. . Since then GSCM has developed into a proactive approach to gaining a competitive edge rather than a compliance-driven endeavor. Zhu and Sarkis

Journal of Research and Development
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN : 2230-9578 | Website: https://jrdrvb.org Volume-17, Issue-10| October - 2025

(2004) studied the adoption of GSCM in Chinese manufacturing companies and demonstrated that external factors like governmental rules and consumer expectations were powerful adoption motivators. Their efforts established the groundwork for a large number of empirical investigations in various sectors and nations.

2. Drivers of GSCM

Numerous internal and external factors are highlighted by research as motivators for GSCM adoption.

- Regulatory Pressure: International governments impose more stringent regulations on recycling waste management and emissions (Testa and Iraldo 2010).
- Market and Customer Demands: Businesses have been compelled to implement eco-friendly practices due to rising consumer awareness (Walker et al. (2008).
- Competitive Advantage: According to research companies that use GSCM enhance their brands differentiation and reputation (Rao & Holt 2005).
- Influence of Stakeholders: Investors and NGOs are expecting more sustainability reporting (Carter & Easton 2011).

3. GSCM Operations.

- Scholars divide GSCM practices into a number of categories. Buying ecologically friendly raw materials is known as green procurement (Min & Galle 2001).
- Eco-design is the process of creating goods that are energy-efficient recyclable and reusable (Zhu Sarkis & Lai 2008). Implementing procedures that lower emissions and waste results in cleaner production (Diabat & Govindan 2011).
- Reverse logistics is the responsible handling of product returns recycling and disposal (Guide & Van Wassenhove 2009).
- Building green partnerships with distributors and suppliers is an example of collaboration (Vachon & Klassen 2006).

4. Impact of GSCM on Performance

There are social economic and environmental advantages to GSCM according to empirical research.

- Environmental Performance: Lower emissions less waste and less energy use (Zhu and Sarkis 2006).
- Economic Performance: Lower penalties and cost savings from effective resource use (Rao 2002).
- Social Performance: Improved client loyalty and business reputation (Sarkis et al. 2011). Though GSCM has long-term benefits adoption is frequently hampered by short-term financial burdens and implementation complexity according to the literature.

5. Barriers to GSCM

Several scholars identify challenges to GSCM implementation:

According to Hervani Helms and Sarkis (2005) high initial costs are a deterrent to investing in technology and training.

- The absence of knowledge and experience (Govindan et al. 2014) - Businesses frequently lack the qualified staff needed to promote sustainability.
- Opposition to Change (Walker et al. (2008)) – Workers and vendors might be reluctant to change long-standing procedures.
- Poor Infrastructure in Underdeveloped Nations (Zhu et al. 2013) – Adoption is hampered by limited access to recycling facilities and greentech.

6. Comparative International Studies

- Innovation and corporate social responsibility are two major forces behind GSCM in developed nations. For instance European businesses are at the forefront of reverse logistics and eco-design (European Commission 2016).
- International buyer pressure and regulatory enforcement are more powerful forces in developing nations. Export-oriented businesses use GSCM to meet global market standards according to studies conducted in China and India (Zhu Sarkis and Geng 2005).

7. Recent Trends in GSCM Research

Discussions about GSCM have been broadened in recent literature to include:. Integration of the Circular Economy (Geissdoerfer et al. 2017) – Reusing and recycling to close material loops. Queiroz et al. discuss the digital transformation of supply chains. 2019) – Green optimization through blockchain IoT and AI. Sharma et al. discuss sustainable resilience. 2020) – Establishing environmentally sustainable supply chains that can withstand shocks like COVID-19. .

=

Journal of Research and Development
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN : 2230-9578 | Website: https://jrdrvb.org Volume-17, Issue-10| October - 2025

Synopsis of the Literature
Review In general the literature shows that although GSCM has many long-term advantages organizations encounter several obstacles when putting it into practice. Academics stress that to speed up adoption regulatory frameworks technological innovation and stakeholder collabotion are crucial.

Research Methodology
This study adopts a qualitative and exploratory research design relying primarily on . government publications as well as multinational firms. method of research. • Exploratory Methodology: Used to investigate the theoretical underpinnings and real-world uses of GSCM.
• Case Study Method: Used to examine actual businesses putting GSCM into practice.
• Comparative analysis: Looks at parallels and divergences between sectors and regions.

Data Collection Sources
1.Academic Journals: Articles from databases like Supply Chain Management Review International Journal of Production Economics and Journal of Cleaner Production.
2.Corporate Sustainability Reports: To assess best practices companies like Apple Walmart and Toyota were examined.
3. Government and Nonprofit Reports: World Bank European Union and UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) documents about sustainable supply chains.

Data Analysis Technique
The performance outcomes drivers obstacles and recurrent themes of GSCM were identified using a content analysis approach. The information was grouped according to theoretical frameworks (e. g. A. Institutional Theory TBL NRBV and others) to comprehend how theory and practice align.

Result and Analysis
The analysis shows a few significant conclusions about the adoption of GSCM in various industries. 1. catalysts for adoption. Toyota: Motivated by kaizen (continuous improvement) as a corporate philosophy and Japanese regulations. Walmart: Driven by cost savings through energy efficiency and customer expectations. Global consumer demand for ecologically friendly products has an impact on Apple.

Table 1: Key Drivers of GSCM Across Companies

Company	Key Drivers	Example Practice
Toyota	Regulatory & Innovation	Hybrid technology (Prius) and closed-loop recycling
Walmart	Customer & Cost Saving	Sustainable packaging, renewable energy sourcing
Apple	Consumer & Investor Pressure	Recycling robots, renewable material sourcing

2. Implementation Practices
- **Green Procurement**: Walmart's supplier sustainability index ensures vendors meet eco-criteria.
- **Eco-Design**: Apple designs devices with recyclable aluminum and reduced carbon footprint.
- **Cleaner Production**: Toyota invests in renewable energy and waste-free factories.

3. Performance Outcomes
- **Environmental Performance**: All three companies reduced CO_2 emissions significantly over the past decade.
- **Economic Performance**: Walmart saved billions in logistics costs by optimizing packaging and transportation.
- **Social Performance**: Toyota strengthened its brand as a leader in eco-friendly innovation, while Apple improved customer trust.

4. Challenges Identified
Despite these benefits, all companies faced barriers such as:
- High upfront investment in technology.
- Resistance from suppliers in developing regions.
- Complexity of global supply chains

Case Sudy Analysis
To provide practical insights into Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM), this section presents case studies of **Toyota**, **Walmart**, and **Apple**. These organizations represent different industries—automotive, retail, and technology—yet share a commitment to sustainability through supply chain transformation.

Case Study 1: Toyota Motor Corporation
Toyota has long been credited with being a pioneer in lean manufacturing which it later applied to green practices. Toyotas corporate philosophy of kaizen (continuous improvement) and Japans strict environmental regulations are major factors in its adoption of GSCM.

Journal of Research and Development
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN : 2230-9578 | Website: https://jrdrvb.org Volume-17, Issue-10| October - 2025

Key Practices:
1. Eco-Design: The creation of hybrid automobiles that drastically cut emissions and fuel consumption like the Prius.
2. Green manufacturing involves reducing waste using the Toyota Production System and implementing zero-landfill factories.
3. Initiatives to recycle automobile batteries and parts are known as reverse logistics.
4. Toyota works in close coordination with its suppliers to apply environmental regulations throughout its supply chain.

Performance Outcomes:
• Over the previous ten years it cut CO2 emissions from its manufacturing processes by more than 30%. Strong competitive advantage thanks to established leadership in hybrid technology. • A stronger reputation as an eco-innovator on a global scale.

Challenges:
- High cost of hybrid and electric vehicle R&D.
- Dependency on raw material suppliers that may lack green compliance.

Case Study 2: Walmart Inc.
As part of its strategy to reduce expenses and enhance sustainability Walmart the biggest retailer in the world has made use of GSCM. Walmarts initiatives have substantial economic and environmental ramifications because of its extensive supply chain network..

Key Practices:
1. Sustainable Procurement: Walmart evaluates suppliers energy waste and emissions performance using its Sustainability Index.
2. Purchasing fuel-efficient trucks and using the best delivery routes are examples of green logistics.
3. Redesigned packaging that uses less material and is more efficient in transit is known as packaging reduction.
4. Renewable Energy: One of the biggest global corporate purchasers of renewable energy is Walmart. Performance Outcomes:

Challenges:
- Difficulty enforcing sustainability compliance across thousands of global suppliers.
- Balancing cost leadership with green investment pressures.

Limitations and Future Research
Notwithstanding the knowledge acquired this study has certain drawbacks. In order to give future research in Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) direction it is critical to identify these gaps.
1. The limitations of the methodology. The majority of the secondary data used in this study came from reports case studies and scholarly journals. These offer insightful information but they fall short in capturing the dynamics of an organization in real time. To get firsthand viewpoints future research should include primary data collection methods like surveys interviews or field studies with supply chain managers**.**
2. narrow geographic reach. Although the study took into account multinational companies like Apple Walmart and Toyota the majority of the case data comes from developed nations with access to cutting-edge technology and robust regulatory frameworks. The results might not apply to developing nations where particular difficulties are brought on by inadequate infrastructure a lack of awareness and inconsistent laws. Future studies ought to cover emerging economies in South Asia Latin America and Africa.
3. Pay attention to large enterprises. The multinational firms with the means to successfully apply GSCM were the subject of this study. Nonetheless the bulk of international businesses are small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and the ways in which they adopt GSCM vary greatly. SMEs frequently have more limited resources and need different approaches. Future research should examine how SMEs fit into green supply chains.
4. Performance Measurement Restrictions. GSCM performance is still not consistently measured. Many businesses use different metrics like cost savings waste reduction or carbon reduction which makes it challenging to compare outcomes across industries. Future studies ought to create standardized frameworks or metrics for assessing how well GSCM practices are working.
5. Insufficient longitudinal research: The majority of previous studies including this one offer a cross-sectional perspective on GSCM practices. But sustainability projects take time to develop and their long-term effects take time to manifest. In order to study the development of GSCM practices and their long-term effects future research should use longitudinal approaches.

Journal of Research and Development
Peer Reviewed International, Open Access Journal.
ISSN : 2230-9578 | Website: https://jrdrvb.org Volume-17, Issue-10| October - 2025

Future Research Directions

Given these limitations, future research can take several promising directions to advance knowledge and practice in GSCM:

1. Industry 4. 0 and digitalization. Examine how supply chain traceability transparency and eco-efficiency can be improved by cutting-edge technologies like blockchain IoT and artificial intelligence.

2. Integration of the Circular Economy. Examine how supply chains can incorporate the concepts of the circular economy especially in sectors like electronics textiles and plastics that use a lot of materials.

3. studies focused on SMEs. Investigate empirically how SMEs implement GSCM practices addressing the challenges they encounter and providing specialized solutions for businesses with limited resources.

4. research that is sector specific. Distinct environmental challenges are faced by various industries. For example whereas electronics prioritize recycling food supply chains prioritize waste reduction. Industry-specific GSCM frameworks should be used in future research.

5. Views from the Global South. Examine the opportunities and difficulties of GSCM in developing nations where established economies differ greatly in terms of infrastructure regulations and consumer awareness.

6. Cultural as well as behavioural aspects. Analyse how leadership philosophies employee conduct and organizational culture affect whether GSCM adoption is successful or not.

7. combining social sustainability with integration. Although research on economic and environmental effects is extensive social sustainability (e. g. G. community development labor rights) within GSCM is still not well understood. A Triple Bottom Line approach should be fully implemented in future studies.

8. Global crises effects. Global supply chain vulnerabilities were brought to light by the COVID-19 pandemic. In order to survive upcoming crises like pandemics or geopolitical conflicts future research should examine how resilience and sustainability can be incorporated into GSCM.

Summary:

This studys limitations highlight the need for more sector-specific geographically diverse and empirical research. In order to develop a more comprehensive understanding of GSCM future directions call for incorporating cutting-edge technology reaching SMEs and taking into account the perspectives of developing nations.

Conclusion

From the theoretical underpinnings of the concept to its real-world applications in international industries this study has examined Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM). According to the study GSCM is now a crucial strategic instrument for attaining sustainability competitiveness and long-term profitability rather than a voluntary or incidental activity. The literature review emphasized how GSCM practices including supplier collaboration eco-design cleaner production green procurement and reverse logistics can greatly enhance social economic and environmental performance. Nevertheless there are some difficulties in implementing these practices. Across sectors and regions obstacles continue to include high upfront costs a lack of experience inconsistent regulations and opposition to change. Through product innovation logistics optimization and circular economy principles the case studies of Apple Walmart and Toyota illustrated how various industries implement GSCM in unique ways. The results consistently demonstrate decreased environmental impact increased efficiency and improved corporate reputation notwithstanding the variations. This study also noted certain drawbacks including its emphasis on big businesses its limited geographic reach and its reliance on secondary data. A more thorough understanding of GSCM can be achieved by investigating digital technologies SMEs developing economies and social sustainability aspects according to future research directions. To sum up GSCM is an essential means of coordinating corporate success with the objectives of global sustainability. Businesses that dont implement green practices run the risk of facing fines from the government and losing their good name as environmental issues worsen. Those who adopt GSCM on the other hand can guarantee long-term resilience financial savings and a competitive advantage in a market that is driven by sustainability.

References

1. Carter, C. R., & Easton, P. L. (2011). Sustainable supply chain management: Evolution and future directions. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 41*(1), 46–62.
2. Diabat, A., & Govindan, K. (2011). An analysis of the drivers affecting the implementation of green supply chain management. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 55*(6), 659–667.
3. Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The Circular Economy–A new sustainability paradigm? *Journal of Cleaner Production, 143*, 757–768.
4. Govindan, K., Kaliyan, M., Kannan, D., & Haq, A. N. (2014). Barriers analysis for green supply chain management implementation in Indian industries using analytic hierarchy process. *International Journal of Production Economics, 147*, 555–568.
5. Guide, V. D. R., & Van Wassenhove, L. N. (2009). The evolution of closed-loop supply chain research. *Operations Research, 57*(1), 10–18.

6. Hervani, A. A., Helms, M. M., & Sarkis, J. (2005). Performance measurement for green supply chain management. *Benchmarking: An International Journal, 12*(4), 330–353.
7. Min, H., & Galle, W. P. (2001). Green purchasing practices of US firms. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 21*(9), 1222–1238.
8. Queiroz, M. M., Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A., & Fosso Wamba, S. (2019). Impacts of Industry 4.0 on supply chain management: A systematic literature review and a roadmap for future research. *International Journal of Production Research, 58*(6), 1883–1900.
9. Rao, P. (2002). Greening the supply chain: A new initiative in South East Asia. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 22*(6), 632–655.
10. Rao, P., & Holt, D. (2005). Do green supply chains lead to competitiveness and economic performance? *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 25*(9), 898–916.
11. Sarkis, J., Zhu, Q., & Lai, K. H. (2011). An organizational theoretic review of green supply chain management literature. *International Journal of Production Economics, 130*(1), 1–15.
12. Sharma, R., Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., Kumar, V., & Kumar, A. (2020). A systematic literature review on machine learning applications for sustainable agriculture supply chain performance. *Computers & Operations Research, 119*, 104926.
13. Srivastava, S. K. (2007). Green supply-chain management: A state-of-the-art literature review. *International Journal of Management Reviews, 9*(1), 53–80.
14. Testa, F., & Iraldo, F. (2010). Shadows and lights of GSCM (green supply chain management): Determinants and effects of these practices based on a multi-national study. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 18*(10–11), 953–962.
15. Vachon, S., & Klassen, R. D. (2006). Extending green practices across the supply chain: The impact of upstream and downstream integration. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 26*(7), 795–821.
16. Walker, H., Di Sisto, L., & McBain, D. (2008). Drivers and barriers to environmental supply chain management practices: Lessons from the public and private sectors. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 14*(1), 69–85.
17. Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2004). Relationships between operational practices and performance among early adopters of green supply chain management practices in Chinese manufacturing enterprises. *Journal of Operations Management, 22*(3), 265–289.
18. Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2006). An inter-sectoral comparison of green supply chain management in China: Drivers and practices. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 14*(5), 472–486.
19. Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Geng, Y. (2005). Green supply chain management in China: Pressures, practices and performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 25*(5), 449–468.
20. Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K. H. (2008). Green supply chain management implications for "closing the loop." *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 44*(1), 1–18.
21. Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K. H. (2013). Institutional-based antecedents and performance outcomes of internal and external GSCM practices. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 19*(2), 106–117.